YUCOMAT 2004, YuMRS, Herceg Novi, Serbia & Montenegro, 2004.

A KINK-SOLITON MODEL OF CHARGE TRANSPORT THROUGH MICROTUBULAR CYTOSKELETON

G. Keković,[1] D. Raković,[2] M. Satarić,[3] Dj. Koruga,[4]

[1] Military Academy, Belgrade
[2] Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Belgrade
[3] Faculty of Technical Sciences, Novi Sad
[4] Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Belgrade
Serbia & Montenegro

ABSTRACT. Contemporary trends in science and technology are characterized by integration of biological and technical systems, like in nanotechnology, nanobiology, and quantum medicine. In our case, we were motivated by necessity to understand charge transport through microtubular cytoskeleton as a constitutive part of acupuncture system. The high frequency component of acupuncture currents, widely exploited in microwave resonance stimulation of acupuncture system in the past decade, implies that explanation of the cytoplasmatic conductivity should be sought in the framework of Frohlich theory. Accordingly, in this paper we critically analyze the problem of the microwave coherent longitudinal electrical oscillations as a theoretical basis for understanding the solitons phenomena in microtubules, showing that charged kink-soliton nonlinear microtubular excitations might be a good candidate for charge transport in microtubules.

Keywords: ***Microtubules, Charged Kink-Solitons, Non-Equilibrium Statistical Physics, Acupuncture Currents.***

INTRODUCTION

Ionic acupuncture currents, and accompanying EM fields, have both ultralowfrequency (ULF) [1] and microwave (MW) [2] components, i.e. the MW component is modulated by the ULF component, this being in overall agreement with the frequency and power windowing in tissue interactions with weak electromagnetic fields [3].

The Ukrainian-Russian research and clinical practice in quantum-like ***microwave resonance therapy*** (MRT) at ~ 50-80 GHz, fundamentally *quantum-informationally efficient* even in very serious *psychosomatic diseases* [2,4], implies that ***acupuncture system*** is a *macroscopic quantum dynamic structure* differentiated at the locations of maximums of three-dimensional standing waves, formed as a result of the reflection of coherent microwave (~ 100 GHz [5]) ***Frohlich excitations*** of molecular subunits in the cell membranes, proteins, microtubules etc. - supported also by other investigations which have demonstrated that differentiation of the gap-junction (GJ) channels (of higher density at acupuncture points and meridians [6]) is slightly sensitive to voltage [7]. The very mechanism of the *ionic transport* through GJ-channels of the acupuncture system is presumably classical, but it still remains the deep question how the continuity of the ionic acupuncture system is achieved through *cytoskeleton structure* between the two opposite cell GJ-channels.

In this paper the problem of *microwave coherent longitudinal electrical oscillations* is considered as a theoretical basis for understanding the ***charged kink-solitons in microtubules***, implying simultaneously the very nature of the *nondisipative* MW electrical signals alongside *microtubular cytoskeleton of acupuncture channels* in MRT therapy.

MW COHERENT LONGITUDINAL OSCILLATIONS & KINK-SOLITON MODEL OF CHARGE TRANSPORT THROUGH MICROTUBULES.

The encouraging results of the MRT therapy in curing people have additionally stimulated researchers to address the problem of energy and charge transport in biological systems. However, the influence of microwave radiation on the human organism is not adequately explained, as well as the nature of acupuncture currents. The high frequency component of these currents in the MW range, as well as the strong response of human organism on the EM radiation in this range, imply that Frohlich ideas might be real theoretical framework for explanation of these phenomena. ***Frohlich*** phenomenologically considered the *chain of membrane dipoles coupled by Coulomb interactions* and showed that energy entering the system, at some critical value, is channeled into energy of longitudinal electrical oscillations; Frohlich named this process a *condensation*, and described it by coherent behavior of the system in the form of *coherent longitudinal electrical oscillations*, with part of the energy dissipated on thermalization of the system of dipoles [5].

Frohlich made his theoretical model by considering Q1D-chains of the cell membranes. These biological structures are interesting from our point of view as well, for their structural similarity with the ***chains of dimers in microtubules***. The appearance of *coherent oscillations* in this system is related to the *mean polarizing field*, resulted in the excitation of dimers at the point of entrance of the energy into the chain of dipoles. By virtue of *Coulomb interactions* this field transfers the energy to other dipoles within the system, at the characteristic frequency determined by parameters of the physical system. The *excited chain of dipoles* represents a *metastable state*, supported by the energy of chemical reactions or external electrical field. As a consequence, an excitation of dipoles is *transferred through the chain by some velocity*, which can be interpreted as a *movement of the polarizing field*.

In order to estimate this *range of electrical oscillations*, we shall present a simple mathematical analysis of this Q1D system. We shall also take into consideration that some change in the concentration of dimers within the chain appeared, by entering of the external energy into the system of dipoles.

The *induced electrical field* can be then evaluated from the Maxwell equation: div$E = -\rho/\varepsilon_o = -nZe/\varepsilon_o$, where n is the concentration of dimers in microtubules and Ze is the charge of the dimers ($Z = 18$).

As the force acting on a dimer is $F = ZeE$, where $E = -nZex/\varepsilon_0$ follows from the above equation for an Q1D system, the *equation of motion of the dimer* reads: $d^2x/dt^2 + nZ^2e^2/\varepsilon_o m_d = 0$, where from the *frequency of electrical oscillations* immediately follows:

$$\omega \cong (nZ^2e^2/\varepsilon_o m_d)^{1/2}.$$

For the typical values of parameters [8], $n_o = 10^{23}$ m^{-3}, $m_D = 5.5 \cdot 10^{-23}$ kg, the frequency of oscillation of the induced electrical field is $\omega_o = 1.5 \cdot 10^{10}$ Hz. If we then assume that concentration of the dimers, on the location of excitation of the chain of dipoles, can raise for two orders of magnitude, $n_1 = 100\ n_o$, the upper limiting value of the frequency of electrical oscillations might reach $\omega_1 = 1.5 \cdot 10^{11}$ Hz. Accordingly, the ***frequency range*** of electrical longitudinal oscillations of the system of dipoles is

$$10^{10} \text{ Hz} \leq \omega \leq 10^{11} \text{ Hz},$$

which is in a *good agreement* with previously obtained theoretical values.

The role of *coherent longitudinal electrical oscillations* in the *charge transport* is very important, as the existence of coherent longitudinal electrical oscillations in the system of dipoles is a *necessary condition* for the appearance of the ***kink-soliton nonlinear excitations*****.**

Properties of solitons in Q1D systems, as well as conditions for their appearance, were explored by **Ivić** and collaborators in details [9]. According to their results, the *autolocalization of a quasiparticle* (electron, exciton, vibron) in the presence of a *weak interaction with phonons* represents theoretical framework to describe *solitons*. If the energy of quasiparticle is fairly higher than the characteristic energy of the phonons subsystem (condition of *adiabaticity*), under weak coupling with phonons the appearance of solitons in Q1D systems is possible.

For instance, in our case the *energy released in chemical reactions* excites dimers, fulfilling the condition of adiabaticity. This energy, in the form of *amid-I excitation* (vibron), is *coupled with longitudinal coherent electrical oscillations*, creating *nonlinear excitation* of the Q1D chain of dimers - ***soliton***.

This robust stable spatio-temporal configuration continues to move through the chain, *exciting dimers alternatively*, corresponding in a real physical situation to the *mobile polarizing field* which moves dimers from their equilibrium positions by Coulomb interactions.

In order to describe these physical processes, it is necessary to consider *all the relevant forces* that act on the dipole.

The *model Hamiltonian*, originally formulated and explored by ***Satarić*** [10] to inquire *dynamics of the dimers in microtubules*, has the following form:

$$H_s = \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left[\frac{M}{2}\left(\frac{du_n}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{K}{4}(u_{n+1}-u_n)^2 - \left(\frac{A}{2}u_n^2 + \frac{B}{4}u_n^4\right) - Cu_n\right] \quad (1)$$

The *first term* in the brackets above represents the kinetic energy associated with the longitudinal displacement u_n of n-th constituent dimers each of which has mass M; the *second term* arises from the restoring strain forces between adjacent dimers in microtubule; the *third term* corresponds to the double-well quartic potential, standardly used to describe critical phenomena (structural transitions in uniaxial ferroelectrics, ferromagnetics ...), where the model parameter A is typically a linear function of temperature and may change its sign at an instability temperature T_c and B is positive and temperature independent parameter; and the *fourth term* accounts for the influence of an intrinsic electric field E, generated by the giant dipole of the microtubular cylinder as a whole, on the n-th constituent dimer of the effective charge q.

By *solving the equation of motion* which follows from the Hamiltonian (1), it can be shown [10] that the *longitudinal displacement* of the n-th dimer, in the presence of the *damping force* of the solvent liquid, $F = -\gamma\, du_n/dt$, is given by $u_n(t) = u_0 \left[\mathrm{th}\sqrt{2\alpha\xi} - \frac{\sigma}{2}\right]$. In this expression $\xi = (x - vt)$ represents the *moving coordinate of the kink-soliton*, while the constant α is defined as $\alpha = \left[\frac{|A|}{M(v_0^2 - v^2)}\right]^{1/2}$, where $v_o = R_o\sqrt{K/M}$ is the *sound velocity* and v is the *kink-soliton velocity*, while R_0 is a *linear dimension of the dimer*. It should be noted that $\omega_0 = \sqrt{K/M}$ is the *frequency of the longitudinal electrical oscillations*, and $\sigma = qB^{1/2}A^{-3/2}E$.

The expression for *velocity of soliton propagation* through microtubule has the following form [10]: $v = \frac{3v_0}{\gamma|A|}\left(\frac{MB}{2}\right)^{1/2} qE$, from which we see that the raise of intrinsic electric field gives rise to increase of the kink-soliton velocity, thus contributing to its *stabilization* upon thermal fluctuations.

In order to explain the *charge transport* mechanism in microtubules, it is necessary to start from the fact that the *injected ion* can be accelerated by the *cell membrane potential* [11] up to the *velocities* ~ 10^4 m/s, enough to *excite kink-solitons* after collisions of the ion with the polar molecule (tubuline dimer). By exciting kink-solitons the dimer charges and microtubular periodic potential are perturbed, which is *transferred through the long-range coulomb forces* on the *injected ionic charge*.

For the sake of mathematical simplification, *this interaction is "replaced"* by *interaction of the ion with the phonons*, out of which we shall take into account only *longitudinal (acoustic) phonons*.

An adequate mathematical approach to the physical *interaction of the coherent condensed modes and an ionic charge* can be sought in the *framework of quantum mechanics*. This approach can be approved by the fact that *dynamic time* of the system (time for excitation of the kink-soliton) is *much less* than the *decoherence time*, which is roughly a time of one oscillation.

Our *starting point* in the analysis of the *charge transport through MTs* is the Hamiltonian (1). In order to adapt this Hamiltonian for further analysis, it is necessary to introduce the denotations for *coordinate and momentum* by virtue of *boson operators:*

$$u_n = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (b_n + b_n^{+}); \quad p_n = i\left(\frac{M\omega_0\hbar}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(b_n^{+} - b_n\right)$$

so that Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H = E_0 + \sum_n \varepsilon b_n^+ b_n + X_0 \sum_n (b_n^{+2} + b_n^2) - X_1 \sum_n \left(b_n b_{n+1} + b_{n+1}^+ b_n^+ - b_n^+ b_{n+1} - b_{n+1}^+ b_n\right)$$
$$+ X_2 \sum_n \left(b_n^{+4} + b_n^4 + 4b_n^{+3} b_n + 6b_n^{+2} b_n^2 + 4b_n^+ b_n^3\right) - X_3 \sum_n \left(b_n^+ + b_n\right) \quad (2)$$

where the following parameters were introduced:

$$E_0 = -\frac{\hbar N}{2M\omega_0} + X_1 N + X_2 + \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{4}; \qquad \varepsilon = -\frac{\hbar A}{2M\omega_0} + 12X_2 + 2X_1 + \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{4}$$

$$X_0 = -\frac{\hbar A}{4M\omega_0} + 6X_2 + X_1 - \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{4}; \quad X_1 = \frac{k\hbar}{4M\omega_0}; \quad X_2 = \frac{B\hbar^2}{16M^2\omega_0^2}; \qquad X_3 = c\left(\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The *further analysis is a standard one*, through *elimination of the linear part X_3* of the Hamiltonian (2), which *corresponds physically* to the problem of nonlinear excitations of the system, i.e. ***solitons*** [12]. So, we apply the *transformation* $H_s = e^{-U} H e^{U}$, where $U = Y\sum_m \left(b_m - b_m^+\right)$. The constant *Y* of the unitary operator can be found from the request for elimination of the linear term, while index *n* enumerates all monomers of the microtubular chain, so that:

$$H_s = \sum_n \bar{\varepsilon} b_n^+ b_n + X_0 \sum_n (b_n^{+2} + b_n^2) - X_1 \sum_n \left(b_n b_{n+1} + b_n^+ b_{n+1}^+ + b_n^+ b_{n+1} + b_{n+1}^+ b_n\right)$$
$$+ X_2 \sum_n \left(b_n^{+4} + b_n^4 + 4b_n^{+3} b_n + 6b_n^{+2} b_n^2 + 4b_n^{+} b_n^3\right) - 8X_2 Y \sum_n \left(b_n^{+3} + b_n^3\right) -$$
$$-24X_2 Y \sum_n \left(b_n^{+2} b_n + b_n^+ b_n^2\right) + 12X_2 Y \sum_n \left(b_n^{+2} + b_n^2\right) \quad (3)$$

where

$$Y = \frac{X_3}{4X_1 - \varepsilon - 2X_0}; \qquad \tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon + 24Y^2 X_2$$

From the viewpoint of our problem, the above Hamiltonian is not sufficient to describe the *charge transport*, and it is therefore necessary to *introduce (fermion)* ***electronic*** *subsystem*, defined as follows:

$$H_e = \sum_k E_k a_k{}^+ a_k \tag{4}$$

The *injected ionic charge* in MT *interacts* with nonlinear excitations (*solitons*) via *longitudinal acoustic phonons*, and in order to *simplify* this interaction mathematically, we shall *diagonalize* the Hamiltonian (3) by direct Fourier transform of the boson o

$$b_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k b_k e^{-ikn} \quad ; \qquad b_n^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k b_k^+ e^{ikn}$$

and by Bogolyubov transformations:

$$b_k = u_k \tilde{b}_k + v_k{}^* \tilde{b}_{-k}{}^+ ; \quad b_k{}^+ = u_k{}^* \tilde{b}_k{}^+ + v_k \tilde{b}_{-k}$$

where the operators b_k, b_k^+ are *Fourier transforms* of the boson operators. With so defined denotations, the Hamiltonian of the boson subsystem related to ***solitons*** has the following form:

$$H_s = \sum_k \tilde{\Delta}_k \tilde{b}_k{}^+ \tilde{b}_k \tag{5}$$

where:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_k = \Delta_k \left[1 + \frac{9}{2} \left(\frac{W_k}{\Delta_k} \right)^2 \right] ; \quad \Delta_k = \tilde{\varepsilon} - 2X_1 \cos kR_0 ; \quad W_k = X_0 + 12Y^2 X_2 - X_1 \cos(kR_0)$$

Interaction of the two described subsystems is expressed mathematically by the Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{kq} F(q)\left(\tilde{b}_q + \tilde{b}_{-q}{}^{+}\right) a_{k+q}{}^{+} a_k \quad (6)$$

where *F(q)* is the *structure factor* which characterizes interaction of *electrons* with *longitudinal acoustic phonons*, and *N* is the number of tubuline dimers within MT.

The *appearance of the charge within MT* introduces short non-equilibrium distribution of the physical parameters in the system, which can be treated conveniently by the methods of *nonequilibrium statistical physics*, developed by ***Zubarev***: Therefore we shall solve the *kinetic equation* describing *decrease* of the number of charges due to interaction with MT [13],

$$\frac{d\langle n_k\rangle}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar}\langle [n_k, H]\rangle_q + I_n \quad (7)$$

where n_k is the *number of electrons* with the wavenumber *k*, and *H* is the *total Hamiltonian* of the system: $H = H_s + H_e + H_{int}$.

The term I_n represents *nonequilibrium correction* defined as follows, $I_n = -\frac{1}{\hbar^2}\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\varepsilon t}\langle [H_1(t),[n_k, H]]\rangle dt$,

where $H_1(t) = e^{\frac{-iH_0 t}{\hbar}} H_{\text{int}} e^{\frac{iH_0 t}{\hbar}}$, $H_0 = H_s + H_e$ - and can be determined by Weyl identity [14] and the known commutation relations for fermion and boson operators. For instance, $e^{\frac{-iH_0 t}{\hbar}} a_k{}^{+} e^{\frac{iH_0 t}{\hbar}} = a_k{}^{+} - \frac{it}{\hbar}[H_0, a_k{}^{+}] + \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{it}{\hbar}\right)^2 [H_0,[H_0, a_k{}^{+}]] + \ldots$; as $[a_k{}^{+} a_{k'}, a_{k'}{}^{+}] = \delta_{kk'} a_{k'}{}^{+}$, it follows directly $e^{\frac{-iH_0 t}{\hbar}} a_k{}^{+} e^{\frac{iH_0 t}{\hbar}} = e^{\frac{-iE_k t}{\hbar}} a_k{}^{+}$. The similar commutation relations hold in the framework of the boson statistics: $[b_k{}^{+} b_k, b_{k'}] = -\delta_{kk'}\, b_k$, $[b_k{}^{+} b_k, b_{k'}{}^{+}] = \delta_{kk'} b_{k'}{}^{+}$, which enables the similar application of the Weyl identity upon boson operators.

By not entering deeper in the calculation of the integral of the nonequilibrium correction, let us state that application of the equation $\langle [n_k, H]\rangle_q = 0$ (an averaging over equilibrium boson ensemble), Vick theorem, and integration over small parameter $\varepsilon \to 0$, gives rise to the following *equation for the average number of electrons*:

$$\frac{d\langle n_k\rangle}{dt} = \frac{2\pi}{N}\sum_{kq}\left\{\frac{|F(q)|^2\left[(N_q+1)n_{k+q}(1-n_k) - N_q n_k(1-n_{k+q})\right]}{\hbar\tilde{\Delta}_q}\right\} - -\frac{2\pi}{N}\sum_{kq}\left\{\frac{|F(q)|^2\left[(N_q+1)n_{k-q}(1-n_k) - N_q n_k(1-n_{k-q})\right]}{\hbar\tilde{\Delta}_q}\right\}$$

where N_q is the *equilibrium number of the bosons* with the wavenumber *q*, and n_{k+q}, n_{k-q} and n_k are *corresponding numbers of the fermions* (electrons), while $\tilde{\Delta}_q >> E_{k,} E_{k+q,} E_{k-q}$ was assumed for the velocities of chaotic movements of electrons.

The above rather complicated expression can be *simplified* for practical purposes, under the *assumption* that majority of *electrons* is concentrated around most probable wavenumber *k* and that *longitudinal coherent excitations* have the same wavenumber *q*, giving rise

$$\frac{d\langle n_k \rangle}{dt} \cong \frac{2\pi}{N} \frac{|F(q)|^2}{\hbar\tilde{\Delta}_q} (N_q + 1)(n_{k+q} - n_{k-q}) \tag{8}$$

Now, by inserting Eq. 8, it is possible to obtain ***electrical current through MT***:

$$I_{MT} = \frac{d\langle n_k \rangle}{dt} e \tag{9}$$

It is interesting to note that application of the typical values of the parameters: $|F(q)|^2 \cong 2.1 \cdot 10^{-48}$ J^2 [9], $\tilde{\Delta}_q \cong 2.91 \cdot 10^{-24}$ J [5], $e = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-19}$ C, $N_q \cong 1.5$ (for $T = 300$ K, $\hbar\omega_q = 4.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ eV [5], $n_{k+q} - n_{k-q} \cong 1$ (roughly one charge per MT), number of constituent dimers in 13 protofilaments of the ~ 1 μm microtubular length $N \cong 1300$ [15] - gives *estimation* for electrical current through MT of I_{MT} ~ 5 pA.

Then the upper limit of the ***electrical current through acupuncture channel***, of the estimated ~ 1 mm^2 cross-section [16] and estimated upper surface density of MTs less than 10^9 MT/mm^2 (for 25 nm MT´s outer diameter [15]) - is

$$I_{acu} < 10^9 \cdot I_{MT} \sim 5 \text{ mA}$$

which is in a *good agreement* with experimental data [16].

CONCLUSION

The encouraging results of the *microwave resonance stimulation* of the *acupuncture system* in curing people have additionally stimulated researchers to address the problem of *energy and charge transport in biological systems*. However, the influence of microwave radiation upon the human organism is still not adequately explained, as well as the nature of acupuncture currents, although *Frohlich ideas* have set a good *theoretical framework* for explanation of these phenomena.

In this paper we have critically analyzed the problem of *longitudinal electrical oscillations* as a *theoretical basis* for understanding the solitons in microtubules, showing that nonlinear *charged kink-solitons* might be a good candidate for *charge transport in microtubular cytoskeleton* as a constitutive part of *acupuncture system* -of importance for *quantum medicine*, *nanobiology* and *nanotechnology.*

REFERENCES

1. B. Pomeranz, in Scientific Bases of Acupuncture, Eds. B. Pomeranz, G. Stux, Springer, Berlin (1989).
2. S. P. Sit'ko, L. N. Mkrtchian, Introduction to Quantum Medicine, Pattern, Kiev (1994); Biological Aspects of Low Intensity Millimeter Waves, Eds. N. D. Devyatkov, O. Betskii, Seven Plus, Moscow (1994).
3. W. R. Adey, Proc. IEEE, **68**, 119 (1980), and references therein.
4. Z. Jovanović-Ignjatić, D. Raković, Acup. & Electro-Therap. Res., The Int. J., **24**, 105 (1999); D. Raković, Z. Jovanović-Ignjatić, D. Radenović, M. Tomašević, E. Jovanov, V. Radivojević, Ž. Martinović, P. Šuković, M. Car, L. Škarić, Electro- and Magnetobiology, **19**, 193 (2000); D. Raković, in Proc. NEUREL, Eds. B. Reljin, S. Stanković, IEEE Yu-Sect., Belgrade (2002).
5. H. Frohlich, Int. J. Quantum Chem., **2**, 641 (1968).
6. S. E. Li, V. F. Mashansky, A. S. Mirkin, in Vibrational Biomechanics. Using Vibrations in Biology and Medicine, Part I: Theoretical Bases of Vibrational Biomechanics, Ed. K. V. Frolov, Nauka, Moscow (1989), Ch. 3, in Russian; D. Djordjević, Electrophysiological Investigations of the Mechanisms of Reflexotherapy, M.S. Thesis, Medical Faculty, Belgrade, 1995, Ch. 1.2, in Serbian.
7. E. R. Kandel, S. A. Siegelbaum, J. H. Schwartz, in Principles of Neural Science, Eds. E. R. Kandel, J. H. Schwartz, T. M. Jessell, Elsevier, New York (1991), Ch. 9.
8. S. R. Hammeroff, R. Penrose, in Eds. J. King and K. H. Pribram, Lawrence Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ (1995).
9. Z. Ivić, Dynamic and Transport Properties of Solitons in One-Dimensional Molecular Crystals in the Contact with Thermostat, Ph. D. Thesis, Faculty of Science, Belgrade (1988), in Serbian; D. W. Brown, Z. Ivić, Phys. Rev. B, **40**, 9876 (1989); Z. Ivić, D. Kapor, M. Škrinjar, Z. Popović, Phys. Rev. B, **48**, 3721 (1993); Z. Ivić, Physica D, **113**, 218 (1998); Ž. Pržulj, D. Kostić, Z. Ivić, Sol. St. Phenomena, **61-62**, 291 (1998); G. Keković, Consideration of a Possible Contribution of Big Polarons (Solitons) in the Neutron Scattering on the Quasi-One-Dimensional Molecular Crystals, M.S. Thesis, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Belgrade (2000).
10. M. V. Satarić, R. B. Žakula, S. Zeković, J. Pokorny, J. Ftala, Biosystems, **39**, 127 (1996).
11. V. A. Kovarskii, Phys. Uspekhi, **42**, 797 (1999).
12. M. V. Satarić, R. B. Žakula, J. A. Tuszynski, Nanobiology, **1**, 445 (1992).